

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
WESTERN DIVISION

FILED *W* D.C.

05 JUN 20 PM 2: 53

LYNELL MARCUS BUTLER, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SHELBY COUNTY, et al.,

Defendants.

Civ. No. 03-2650-D/P

ROBERT A. DI TROLIO
CLERK, U.S. DIST. CT.
W.D. OF TN, MEMPHIS

AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER

On June 16, 2005, the court held a scheduling conference. Present were Randall Tolley, counsel for plaintiffs, Debra Fessenden and Katherine Anderson, counsel for defendants. The scheduling order is hereby amended as follows:

INITIAL DISCLOSURES PURSUANT TO Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1):
August 15, 2005

JOINING PARTIES: October 3, 2005

AMENDING PLEADINGS: October 3, 2005

INITIAL MOTIONS TO DISMISS: November 15, 2005

COMPLETING ALL DISCOVERY: May 15, 2006

(a) DOCUMENT PRODUCTION: May 15, 2006

89

(b) DEPOSITIONS, INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR
ADMISSIONS: May 15, 2006

(c) EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURE (Rule 26):

(1) DISCLOSURE OF PLAINTIFF'S RULE 26 EXPERT
INFORMATION: June 15, 2006

(2) DISCLOSURE OF DEFENDANT'S RULE 26 EXPERT
INFORMATION: July 15, 2006

(3) EXPERT WITNESS DEPOSITIONS: August 30, 2006

FILING DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS: October 2, 2006

OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS:

No depositions may be scheduled to occur after the discovery cutoff date. All motions, requests for admissions, or other filings that require a response must be filed sufficiently in advance of the discovery cutoff date to enable opposing counsel to respond by the time permitted by the Rules prior to that date.

Motions to compel discovery are to be filed and served by the discovery deadline or within 30 days of the default or the service of the response, answer, or objection, which is the subject of the motion, if the default occurs within 30 days of the discovery deadline, unless the time for filing of such motion is extended for good cause shown, or the objection to the default, response, answer, or objection shall be waived.

This case is set for a jury trial. The pretrial order date, pretrial conference date, and trial date will be set by the presiding judge. It is anticipated that the trial will last approximately two (2) weeks.

This case may be appropriate for ADR. The parties are directed to engage in court-annexed attorney mediation or private mediation after the close of discovery.

The parties are reminded that pursuant to Local Rule 11(a)(1)(A), all motions, except motions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12, 56, 59, and 60 shall be accompanied by a proposed order.

The opposing party may file a response to any motion filed in this matter. Neither party may file an additional reply, however, without leave of the court. If a party believes that a reply is necessary, it shall file a motion for leave to file a reply accompanied by a memorandum setting forth the reasons for which a reply is required.

At this time, the parties have not given consideration to whether they wish to consent to trial before the magistrate judge. The parties will file a written consent form with the court should they decide to proceed before the magistrate judge.

This order has been entered after consultation with trial counsel pursuant to notice. Absent good cause shown, the scheduling dates set by this order will not be modified or extended.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



TU M. PHAM

United States Magistrate Judge

June 20, 2005

Date



Notice of Distribution

This notice confirms a copy of the document docketed as number 89 in case 2:03-CV-02650 was distributed by fax, mail, or direct printing on June 20, 2005 to the parties listed.

Katherine M. Anderson
THE HARDISON LAW FIRM
119 S. Main St.
Ste. 300
Memphis, TN 38103

Debra L. Fessenden
SHELBY COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
160 N. Main Street
Ste. 660
Memphis, TN 38103

Paul D. Leitch
LAW OFFICE OF PAUL LEITCH
5050 Poplar
Ste. 2414
Memphis, TN 38157

Randall Blake Tolley
LAW OFFICE OF RANDALL B. TOLLEY
242 Poplar Ave.
Memphis, TN 38103

Jerry O. Potter
THE HARDISON LAW FIRM
119 S. Main St.
Ste. 300
Memphis, TN 38103

Honorable Bernice Donald
US DISTRICT COURT